## EXHIBIT 13

Case 1:08-cv-00312-SAS Document 320-11 Filed 12/06/13 Page 2 of 11

```
g1080212.txt
00001
        UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
   1
        SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
        In Re: Methyl Tertiary
Butyl Ether ("MTBE")
   3
                                                Master File
                                                No. 1:00-1898
MDL 1358
(SAS): M21-88
   4
        Products Liability
        Litigation
        This Document Relates To:
  6
        New Jersey Department of Environmental
        Protection, et al., v. Atlantic Richfield
  8
        Co., et al.
No. 08 Civ. 00312
  9
 10
                          August 2, 2012
 11
 12
        Oral Rule 30(b)(6)
deposition of Plaintiff New Jersey
Department of Environmental Protection,
 13
 14
        through its representative GARY S.
        LIPSIUS, REGARDING HP DELTA, taken pursuant to notice, was held at the offices of the STATE OF NEW JERSEY, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION,
 15
 16
        401 East State Street, Trenton, New Jersey, beginning at 9:56 a.m., on the above date, before Kimberly A. Cahill, a Federally Approved Registered Merit
 17
 18
        Reporter and Notary Public for the State
 19
        of New Jersey.
 20
 21
               GOLKOW TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
877.370.3377 ph| 917.591.5672 fax
deps@golkow.com
 22
 23
 24
00002
  1
        APPEARANCES:
   3
              MILLER, AXLINE & SAWYER
              BY: BRIAN D. SHANNON, ESQUIRE
   4
              1050 Fulton Avenue, Suite 100
              Sacramento, California 95825-4225
   5
              (916) 488-6688
              bshannon@toxictorts.org
   6
              Representing the Plaintiffs
   8
              EIMER STAHL LLP
              BY: PAMELA R. HANEBUTT, ESQUIRE
   9
              224 South Michigan Avenue
              Suite 1100
              Chicago, Illinois 60604-2516
(312) 660-7600
 10
              phanebutt@eimerstahl.com
 11
              Representing the Defendant, CITGO
 12
              Petroleum
                                                        Page 1
```

Case 1:08-cv-00312-SAS Document 320-11 Filed 12/06/13 Page 3 of 11

```
g1080212.txt
                         Mr. Corcory retired.
Is there someone else that
   4
5
6
7
                 Α.
        you could have spoken to in his stead?
                          MR. SHANNON: Calls for
  8
                  speculation.
                          THE WITNESS: Actually, I'd
 10
                  like to correct testimony that I
  11
                 made earlier, because I just
                  remembered someone I did speak to.
 12
                         MS. HANEBUTT: Okay.
THE WITNESS: Bradi Montozzi
 13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
                  -- her name at the time I believe
                 was Sklar. It may have been
Montozzi on some of the documents
-- but she worked for Mr. Corcory
                 and I had a brief discussion with
                 her, which I just forgot about.
        BY MS. HANEBUTT:
                         What did you talk to her
                 Q.
        about?
                          I mentioned to her that I
00042
        was being deposed for this HP Delta site
  1
  3
        and that she had been -- that I mentioned
        that I had seen her name listed on some
  4
5
6
7
        of the enforcement documents at the time
        she worked for Mr. Corcory.

And I asked her what she
        remembered about the -- the site,
  8
        enforcement-wise.
                         And what did she have to say
                 Q.
 10
        in that regard?
 11
                         She told me that she really
                 Α.
        didn't remember much about it at all, and it wasn't a very long discussion. She just said, you know, I barely remember the case. I remember there was some --
 12
 13
 14
 15
        some potable wells that were contaminated, but she said she didn't really have much detail to give me.

Q. Is it the DEP's contention
 16
 17
 18
 19
        that releases from the HP Delta station
 20
 21
22
        caused the MTBE impacts to the potable
        wells along Lancaster Road?
 23
                         MR. SHANNON: Calls for
 24
                 expert opinion. It's beyond the
00043
  1
2
                 scope for which this witness is
                 being produced.
                          THE WITNESS: Could you
                 clarify the question, the NJDEP's position?
  4
  56789
        BY MS. HANEBUTT:
       Q. well, you're here today as a representative of the DEP.
                         Right.
                 Α.
        Q. And as such, you have an obligation to answer questions based on
 10
 11
        information known to or available to DEP, and I'm just wondering if DEP has a
 12
 13
        position that the HP Delta station is
                                                     Page 20
```

## 

```
g1080212.txt
         responsible for the MTBE impacts in the
 16
        potable wells along Lancaster Road.
                           MR. SHANNON: Calls for
  17
                  expert opinion and it's beyond the
 18
                  scope for which this witness is being produced.
  19
 20
21
22
23
24
                           THE WITNESS: I believe it
                  would be NJDEP's position that the contamination -- the MTBE
                  contamination and TBA
00044
                  contamination found at the HP
   1
2
3
                  Delta site is in some part
                  responsible for the potable well
                  contamination associated with the
                  Lancaster Road site. And that would be shown by the directive and in the inclusion of a
 8
9
10
                  Lancaster Road well issue in the
                  HP Delta directive.
        BY MS. HANEBUTT:
        Q. And what is the basis for concluding that the HP Delta site is in
 11
 12
        some part responsible for the Lancaster
 13
 14
15
        Road contamination?
                  MR. SHANNON: Calls for expert opinion. It's beyond the
 16
 17
                  scope for which this witness is
 18
                  being produced. I think that
                  question is --
MS. HANEBUTT: No coaching.
 19
 20
21
                  You can just state your objection
 22
23
                  -- I'm just going to tell you, as a matter of courtesy, because
 24
                  you're new to the case and you
00045
                  have not met Her Honor, Judge
Scheindlin, but she does not take
  1
2
3
                  very kindly to coaching witnesses
                  on the record.
                  All you're allowed to do is state the basis for your objection. Anything else is
 5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
                  improper.
                  And if I have to, I'll get
Special Master Warner on the
phone. He, in fact, has invited
                  as much, so --
                          MR. SHANNON: That's what I
                  was in the process of doing, counsel, and if I may finish, it's beyond the scope for which this
 14
15
16
17
                  witness is produced.
 18
19
                  I think that question is most appropriately addressed to
 20
21
                  Mr. Akshay Parikh, who will be produced later today regarding the
 22
                  issues related to the Lancaster
                  Road wells.
                          MR. HANEBUTT: Are you able
```

## g1080212.txt

```
00063
                        contaminants that were found in
                        the potable wells.
           BY MS. HANEBUTT:
                                  Anything else?
MR. SHANNON: Same
                        Q.
                        objection.
                       THE WITNESS: As I mentioned before, I think the fact that MW-01 contaminant levels found
  10
                       when the system was shut off,
                       which is in the direction of the potable wells, also would lend one to think that -- you know, that the northwest direction of the
  11
12
13
14
15
                       site, notwithstanding the
                       groundwater -- deep groundwater flow levels, it still seemed to be a potential source of the potable
  16
  17
  18
  19
                       well contamination.
 20
21
22
23
           BY MS. HANEBUTT:
          Q. What degree of confidence do you attach to that conclusion that HP Delta is a source_of_impacts to the
  24
           Lancaster Road wells?
00064
                                  MR. SHANNON: It's vague and
    1
2
3
4
                       ambiguous as phrased, calls for
                        expert opinion.
                       MS. HANEBUTT: You just said potential, so I'm trying to
  6
7
8
9
10
11
                        explore that,
                                  MR. SHANNON: Same
                       objections; beyond the scope of
the deposition -- or beyond the
                       scope for which this witness is
                       being produced.
  12
13
                       THE WITNESS: Again, I think Mr. Parikh would have some good
 14
15
                       insight into this question, but
                       I'd be happy to answer the question, if I may.

MR. SHANNON: Go ahea
  16
  17
                                                           Go ahead.
 18
19
                                  THE WITNESS: Okay.
I would say it's -- looking
 20
21
22
23
                       at the total history of the case and the contaminants and the proximity, that it's a -- the HP Delta site is a likely source.
  24
                                  So you asked me to define
00065
                       the probability, I would say likely. Likely doesn't mean for certain, but it's reasonable to assume that there has been a major component coming from the HP Delta
    1
2
3
4
    5
6
7
                       site.
           BY MS. HANEBUTT:
                                  Aside from Mr. Corcory, is
           there anyone else that you would have
                                                                      Page 29
```